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## Definition

Let $n$ be a positive integer. The Temperley-Lieb Algebra of Type $A, \mathrm{TL}_{n}(A)$, with parameter $\delta$ is defined to be the associative, unital algebra over the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\delta]$ generated by elements $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ subject only to the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{i}^{2}=\delta e_{i}, \text { for all } i \\
& e_{i} e_{j}=e_{j} e_{i}, \text { for }|i-j| \geq 2 \\
& e_{i} e_{j} e_{i}=e_{i}, \text { for }|i-j|=1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem

$\mathrm{TL}_{n}(A)$ is a finite dimensional associative algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[\delta]$. $A$ basis may be described in terms of "reduced words" in the algebra generators $e_{i}$. The rank of $\mathrm{TL}_{n}(A)$ is the nth Catalan number:

$$
C_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}=\frac{(2 n)!}{(n+1)!n!}
$$

## Some Remarks:

- $\mathrm{TL}_{n}(A)$ was invented in 1971 by Temperley and Lieb.
- First arose in the context of integrable Potts models in statistical mechanics.
- As well as having applications in physics, $\mathrm{TL}_{n}(A)$ appears in the framework of knot theory, braid groups, Coxeter groups and their corresponding Hecke algebras, and subfactors of von Neumann algebras.
- Penrose/Kauffman use diagram algebra to model $\mathrm{TL}_{n}(A)$ in 1971.
- In 1987, Vaughan Jones recognized that $\mathrm{TL}_{n}(A)$ is isomorphic to a particular quotient of the Hecke Algebra of type $A_{n-1}$ (the symmetric group, $S_{n}$ ).


## Definition

A standard $n$-box is a rectangle with $2 n$ nodes, labeled as follows:


An n-diagram is a graph drawn on the nodes of a standard $n$-box such that

- Every node is connected to exactly one other node by a single edge.
- All edges must be drawn inside the $n$-box.
- The graph can be drawn so that no edges cross.


## Example

Here is an example of a 5-diagram.


Here is another.


## Example

Here is an example that is not a diagram.


## Definition

The associative diagram algebra, $\mathcal{D}_{n}(A)$, is the free $\mathbb{Z}[\delta]$-module having the set of $n$-diagrams as a basis with multiplication defined as follows.

If $d$ and $d^{\prime}$ are $n$-diagrams, then $d d^{\prime}$ is obtained by identifying the "south face" of $d$ with the "north face" of $d^{\prime}$, and then replacing any closed loops with a factor of $\delta$.

## Example

Multiplication of two 5-diagrams.


## Example

Here's another example.


Example
And here's one more.


$$
=\delta^{3}
$$



## Theorem

The rank of the diagram algebra $\mathcal{D}_{n}(A)$ is $C_{n}$.

## Proof.

The number of sequences of $n$ pairs of well-formed parentheses is $C_{n}$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between $n$-diagrams and sequences of $n$ pairs of well-formed parentheses.


Now, we define a few "simple" n-diagrams. Let


Claim 1: The diagrams $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n-1}$ generate $\mathcal{D}_{n}(A)$.
Claim 2: The generators $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n-1}$ satisfy the relations of $\mathrm{TL}_{n}(A)$.

For all $i$, we have


For $|i-j| \geq 2$, we have

$=d_{j} d_{i}$

For $|i-j|=1$ (here, $j=i+1 ; j=i-1$ being similar), we have


Claim 1 and Claim 2, along with the fact that $\mathrm{TL}_{n}(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{n}(A)$ have the same dimension, suggest the following theorem.

Theorem
$\mathrm{TL}_{n}(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{n}(A)$ are isomorphic as $\mathbb{Z}[\delta]$-algebras under the correspondence

$$
e_{i} \mapsto d_{i}
$$

Now, consider the group algebra of the symmetric group $S_{n}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$
\mathbb{Z}\left[S_{n}\right]
$$

Recall that $S_{n}$ is generated by the adjacent transpositions:

$$
(12),(23), \ldots,(n-1 n) .
$$

Define

$$
s_{i}=(i i+1)
$$

Next, take the (principal) ideal, $J$, of $\mathbb{Z}\left[S_{n}\right]$ generated by all elements of the form

$$
1+s_{i}+s_{j}+s_{i} s_{j}+s_{j} s_{i}+s_{i} s_{j} s_{i}
$$

where $|i-j|=1$ (i.e., $s_{i}$ and $s_{j}$ are noncommuting generators).

## Definition

Let $\sigma=s_{i_{1}} \ldots s_{i_{r}} \in S_{n}$ be reduced. We say that $\sigma$ is fully commutative, or $F C$, if any two reduced expressions for $\sigma$ may be obtained from each other by repeated commutation of adjacent generators. In other words, $\sigma$ has no reduced expression containing $s_{i} s_{j} s_{i}$ for $|i-j|=1$.

## Example

$s_{1} s_{2} s_{4} s_{1}=(12)(23)(45)(12)$ is a reduced expression for an element in $S_{5}$. This element is not FC.

$$
s_{1} s_{2} s_{4} s_{1}=s_{1} s_{2} s_{1} s_{4}
$$

Now, let

$$
b_{s_{i}}=\left(1+s_{i}\right)+J \in \mathbb{Z}\left[S_{n}\right] / J .
$$

Theorem
As a unital algebra, $\mathbb{Z}\left[S_{n}\right] / J$ is generated by $b_{s_{1}}, \ldots, b_{s_{n-1}}$.
Definition
If $\sigma=s_{i_{1}} \ldots s_{i_{r}}$ is reduced and FC, then

$$
b_{\sigma}=b_{s_{i_{1}}} \ldots b_{s_{i r}}
$$

is a well-defined element of $\mathbb{Z}\left[S_{n}\right] / J$.
Theorem
The set $\left\{b_{\sigma}: \sigma F C\right\}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for $\mathbb{Z}\left[S_{n}\right] / J$.

That is, $\mathbb{Z}\left[S_{n}\right] / J$ has a basis indexed by the fully commutative elements of $S_{n}$.

If we let $\delta=2$, we have the following result.
Theorem
The algebras $\mathbb{Z}\left[S_{n}\right] / J$ and $\mathrm{TL}_{n}(A)$ (with $\delta=2$ ) are isomorphic as $\mathbb{Z}$-algebras under the correspondence

$$
b_{s_{i}}=\left(1+s_{i}\right)+J \mapsto d_{i}
$$

